US Airline Sector Financial Analysis

An In-depth Look at Financial Metrics and Trends

Robert, Noberto, Riccardo & Ronak

2025-10-15

Table of contents

W	elco n Lice		5
1	Intr	oduction	6
2	Refe	erences	7
3	Exe	cutive Summary	8
I	Un	nited Airlines (UAL)	10
4	Unit	ted Airlines (UAL) Financial Analysis	11
	4.1	Market Capitalization Recovery	11
	4.2	Income Sustainability and Recovery	12
		4.2.1 Profitability Analysis	12
		4.2.2 Margin Analysis	13
	4.3	Balance Sheet Health and Leverage	14
		4.3.1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio Trend	15
	4.4	Cash Flow Quality and Sustainability	17
		4.4.1 Free Cash Flow Trend	18
	4.5	Efficiency and Performance Ratios	20
		4.5.1 Return on Assets (ROA)	20
		4.5.2 ROA = Total Asset Turnover \times Profit Margin	21
		4.5.3 Return on Equity (ROE)	22
		$4.5.4 \text{ROE} = \text{ROA} \times \text{Capital Structure Leverage} \times \text{Common Earnings Leverage}$	23
	4.6	Summary	24
H	Je	t Blue (JBLU)	25
5	Jet	Blue (JBLU) Financial Analysis	26
	5.1	Market Capitalization	26
	5.2	Income Statement Overview	27
		5.2.1 Profitability Analysis	27
	5.3	Margin Analysis	28

	5.4	9	30
			31
	5.5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	32
	T C		33
	5.6	v	34
			34 36
		<u>g</u>	36
		5.6.4 ROE = ROA \times Capital Structure Leverage \times Common Earnings Leverage	
	5.7		38
	Sk	yWest (SKYW)	39
6	Sky	West (SKYW) Financial Analysis	40
	6.1	1	40
	6.2	v	41
		U U	41
		9 0	42
	6.3	9	43
	0.4	ı v	44
	6.4		45
	6.5		47
	0.5	v	$\frac{48}{48}$
			40 49
			43 50
		6.5.4 ROE = ROA \times Capital Structure Leverage \times Common Earnings Leverage	
	6.6	•	52
IV	Co	mparative Analysis	53
7	Con	nparative Financial Analysis	54
8	Intr	oduction	55
	8.1	Data Collection	55
	8.2		56
	8.3		57
	8.4	·	59
	8.5	v v	60
			60
		8.5.2 Capital Structure Leverage and Common Earnings Leverage	62

	8.5.3	Efficiency Ratios Over Time 6	4
	8.5.4	Profitability Ratios Over Time	7
8.6	Comn	non Size Analysis - Income Statement	ľ
	8.6.1	Net Income Ratio Over Time	ľ
	8.6.2	Expense Composition (% of Revenue)	1
	8.6.3	Margin Comparison (Gross vs Operating vs Net)	2
8.7	Comn	non Size Analysis - Balance Sheet	3
	8.7.1	Capital Structure: Liabilities, Equity and Cash	3
	8.7.2	Asset Composition (% of Total Assets)	'4
8.8	Comn	non Size Analysis - Cash Flow Statement	5
	8.8.1	Cash Flow Quality (CFO/Net Income)	5
	8.8.2	Cash Flow Composition (Operating, Investing, Financing)	6
	8.8.3	Free Cash Flow (% of Net Income)	7
8.9	Net Ir	ncome Ratio by year and airline	'8

Welcome

This quarto book explores the financial performance of selected airlines using various financial analysis techniques including time series, cross-sectional, and panel data analysis.

License

This website is (and will always be) **free to use**, and is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License.

1 Introduction

This report provides a detailed comparative financial analysis of three distinct players in the U.S. airline industry: legacy carrier United Airlines (UAL), low-cost carrier JetBlue (JBLU), and regional operator SkyWest (SKW). Utilizing financial data from the beginning of 2020 through the end of fiscal year 2024, this study examines the post-pandemic recovery, financial health, profitability, and operational efficiency of each company. The strategic importance of this analysis lies in understanding the divergent recovery paths and competitive positioning that have emerged in a sector profoundly reshaped by recent global events.

2 References

This report leverages a variety of data sources and analytical tools to provide a comprehensive financial analysis of United Airlines (UAL), JetBlue (JBLU), and SkyWest (SKW). The primary data source for financial statements and market data is the Financial Modeling Prep (FMP) API, which offers extensive access to historical and current financial information for publicly traded companies.

- Financial Modeling Prep (FMP) API: https://financialmodelingprep.com/developer/docs/
- Tidyquant R Package: https://business-science.github.io/tidyquant/
- Tidyverse R Package: https://www.tidyverse.org/
- Tidyfinance R Package: https://www.tidy-finance.org/r/financial-statement-analysis.html
- ggthemr R Package

3 Executive Summary

The industry-wide data reveals a clear trajectory from the severe operational and financial downturn of 2020 towards a sustained, albeit uneven, recovery. Revenue across all three carriers collapsed during the pandemic's initial phase, leading to significant net losses. However, the subsequent years show a strong rebound in top-line growth, with United Airlines leading in sheer scale. Profitability has been slower to recover, hampered by volatile fuel costs and labor expenses. The analysis highlights that while revenue has largely normalized, the quality of earnings and the strength of balance sheets remain key differentiators among competitors.

The single most important insight from this comparative analysis is that SkyWest (SKW) demonstrates the most resilient and strategically advantageous financial profile. While United's recovery in scale is impressive, SkyWest's strength is rooted in its fundamental stability. This conclusion is supported by its superior balance sheet health, exemplified by a Net Debt-to-Equity ratio of just 81% in 2024, compared to UAL's significantly higher 151%. Furthermore, SkyWest has generated consistent positive free cash flow annually since 2022, a feat JetBlue has not accomplished. This combination of low leverage and reliable internal cash generation positions SkyWest exceptionally well to navigate future market uncertainties and capitalize on opportunities without being constrained by financial distress.

The following table offers a high-level summary of each airline's performance profile based on the detailed analysis within this report.

Table 3.1: High-level summary of each airline's performance

Performance Category	United Airline (UAL)	Jet Blue (JBLU)	SkyWest (SKYW)
Financial Health	Exhibited significant deleveraging since 2021, though the balance sheet remains highly leveraged. Liquidity is strong.	Leverage has increased steadily, reflecting persistent net losses and strategic investments, posing a risk to financial stability.	Maintained a consistently conservative and strong balance sheet with the lowest leverage, providing significant financial flexibility.

Performance	United Airline	()	(2
Category	(UAL)	Jet Blue (JBLU)	SkyWest (SKYW)
Profitability	Achieved a robust revenue recovery and returned to strong profitability by 2023, with solid operating and net margins in 2024.	Struggled to achieve consistent profitability, posting net losses in four of the last five years and demonstrating weak margin performance.	Demonstrated stable, positive operating margins throughout the period, with consistent net profitability since 2023.
Operational Efficiency	Generated powerful operating cash flows post-2021, though free cash flow has been volatile due to high capital expenditures.	Cash flow from operations has been inconsistent and often failed to cover net losses, resulting in consistently negative free cash flow.	Produced remarkably stable and positive operating and free cash flows, showcasing a highly efficient and resilient business model.
\mathbf{Stock}	Hold	Sell	Buy & Hold
Recommendation			

In conclusion, the U.S. airline sector presents a landscape of varied financial fortitude. United Airlines has successfully leveraged its scale to drive a powerful earnings recovery. JetBlue faces significant headwinds in its pursuit of sustainable profitability and balance sheet repair. SkyWest, with its distinct regional operating model, has emerged from the turbulent five-year period with the most durable and well-positioned financial structure, setting the stage for a stable long-term outlook.

Part I United Airlines (UAL)

4 United Airlines (UAL) Financial Analysis

As a premier global legacy carrier, United Airlines' financial performance serves as a crucial barometer for the health of the entire airline industry. Its vast network and exposure to international and business travel make its recovery from the 2020 downturn a key case study in sector resilience. This section performs a time-series analysis of UAL's profitability, financial health, and cash flow sustainability from 2020 through the end of fiscal year 2024.

4.1 Market Capitalization Recovery

United's market capitalization trajectory mirrors the broader industry's rollercoaster ride through the pandemic. The precipitous drop in early 2020 reflects the immediate shock to air travel demand, while the subsequent recovery highlights investor confidence in UAL's strategic initiatives and the overall rebound of the airline sector.




Figure 4.1: United Airlines (UAL) Historical Market Capitalization (2020-2024)

4.2 Income Sustainability and Recovery

4.2.1 Profitability Analysis

United's income statement reflects a dramatic V-shaped recovery. After a staggering loss in 2020, the airline saw revenues climb aggressively, surpassing pre-pandemic levels by 2023. This

top-line momentum translated into a powerful return to profitability.



United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Revenue and Net Income (2020-2024)

Figure 4.2: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Revenue and Net Income (2020-2024)

Date

4.2.2 Margin Analysis

The operating margin collapse to -62.6% in 2020 quantifies the devastating impact of the pandemic on UAL's core operations. The recovery was swift; by 2022, UAL had achieved a strong 11.1% operating margin and positive net income. The return to double-digit operating

margins in 2024 underscores the success of its strategy to focus on premium, international, and business travel, which command higher yields and have seen robust post-pandemic demand.




Figure 4.3: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Operating and Net Margins (2020-2024)

4.3 Balance Sheet Health and Leverage

UAL's balance sheet underwent significant stress and subsequent repair during this period. The company took on substantial debt to navigate the pandemic, but has since focused on

de-leveraging.




Figure 4.4: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Total Assets, Debt and Equity (2020-2024)

4.3.1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio Trend

The evolution of UAL's capital structure is stark. The Debt-to-Equity ratio (Total Liabilities / Total Equity) peaked in 2022 at over 18, indicating extreme financial risk. However, driven by retained earnings, the equity base has more than doubled from its 2021 low, and the Debt-

to-Equity ratio has been systematically reduced to a more manageable, though still high, 4.7 by year-start 2025. This trend of strengthening the balance sheet is a critical component of its long-term strategy.




Figure 4.5: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Debt-to-Equity Ratio (2020-2024)

4.4 Cash Flow Quality and Sustainability

A key measure of an airline's health is its ability to generate cash internally. The comparison between Net Income and Cash from Operating Activities (CFO) reveals the quality of UAL's earnings.

The data shows a generally positive relationship between earnings and cash flow, particularly in 2022 and 2024 where CFO exceeded net income, a sign of high-quality earnings. However, the negative CFO in 2023, despite positive net income, suggests a significant cash drain from working capital, likely related to the timing of accrued liabilities or a build-up in receivables.




Figure 4.6: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Net Income and Cash from Operating Activities (2020-2024)

4.4.1 Free Cash Flow Trend

The free cash flow (FCF) trend further illustrates the capital-intensive nature of UAL's business. The negative FCF in most quarters underscores the airline's heavy investment in fleet modernization and expansion, which is critical for maintaining competitive advantage but also

places pressure on liquidity. The consistent negative FCF (except for 2024) highlights its intense capital expenditure cycle, making sustained operational cash generation essential to fund its growth ambitions.




Figure 4.7: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Free Cash Flow (2020-2024)

4.5 Efficiency and Performance Ratios

4.5.1 Return on Assets (ROA)

UAL's Return on Assets (ROA) trajectory highlights the airline's efficiency in utilizing its asset base to generate profits. The negative ROA during the pandemic years reflects the severe operational challenges faced, while the positive rebound in 2022 and beyond indicates improved asset utilization as travel demand recovered.

Return on Assets for UAL (2020 Q1 - 2025 Q2)




Figure 4.8: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Return on Assets (ROA) (2020-2024)

4.5.2 ROA = Total Asset Turnover \times Profit Margin

ROA decomposition illustrates how UAL's asset efficiency and profitability jointly influence its overall return on assets. The interplay between these two components highlights the airline's strategic focus on maximizing both revenue generation and cost management to enhance asset returns.



Figure 4.9: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly ROA Decomposition (2020-2024)

4.5.3 Return on Equity (ROE)

UAL's Return on Equity (ROE) pattern closely follows that of ROA, underscoring the airline's ability to generate shareholder returns from its equity base. The significant dip during the pandemic years is indicative of the financial strain experienced, while the recovery in subsequent years reflects improved profitability and effective equity utilization.

Return on Equity for UAL (2020 Q1 - 2025 Q2)




Figure 4.10: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly Return on Equity (ROE) (2020-2024)

4.5.4 ROE = ROA \times Capital Structure Leverage \times Common Earnings Leverage

Decomposing ROE into its constituent parts provides a nuanced understanding of how UAL generates returns for its shareholders. The interaction between operational efficiency (ROA), financial leverage (Capital Structure Leverage), and earnings quality (Common Earnings Leverage) reveals the multifaceted approach UAL employs to enhance shareholder value.




Figure 4.11: United Airlines (UAL) Quarterly ROE Decomposition (2020-2024)

4.6 Summary

In summary, United Airlines has demonstrated a robust recovery from the pandemic-induced downturn, marked by a strong rebound in revenue and a return to profitability. The airline's strategic focus on premium travel segments has paid off, enabling it to achieve healthy operating margins. However, the balance sheet remains highly leveraged, and the capital-intensive nature of the business continues to pressure free cash flow. Moving forward, UAL's ability to sustain operational cash generation while managing its debt levels will be critical to its long-term financial health and competitive positioning in the evolving airline industry.

Part II Jet Blue (JBLU)

5 JetBlue (JBLU) Financial Analysis

JetBlue Airways occupies a competitive space between legacy carriers and ultra-low-cost operators. Analyzing its financial statements is crucial for understanding the strategic challenges and opportunities for airlines with this hybrid model. This section provides a time-series analysis of JetBlue's key financial metrics, tracing its performance against the backdrop of an evolving industry.

5.1 Market Capitalization

JetBlue's market capitalization trajectory from 2020 to 2024 reflects the broader industry's volatility and recovery. The initial sharp decline in early 2020 corresponds with the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, which severely impacted air travel demand. However, unlike some competitors, JetBlue's market cap did not recover to pre-pandemic levels by 2024, indicating ongoing challenges in regaining investor confidence amid competitive pressures and operational hurdles.




Figure 5.1: JetBlue (JBLU) Historical Market Capitalization (2020-2024)

5.2 Income Statement Overview

5.2.1 Profitability Analysis

JetBlue's path to profitability has been significantly more challenging than that of its larger peers. While revenue has recovered, consistent bottom-line performance remains elusive.




Figure 5.2: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Revenue and Net Income (2020-2024)

5.3 Margin Analysis

JetBlue's recovery trajectory has been fraught with volatility. After a brief return to a small operating and net profit in 2022, the airline slipped back into losses in 2023 and continued to post a net loss in 2024. This persistent margin weakness suggests structural cost pressures, potentially from an uncompetitive labor cost structure or an inefficient route network that faces

intense competition from both legacy carriers on premium routes and ultra-low-cost carriers on leisure routes, leaving JetBlue caught in the middle.




Figure 5.3: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Operating and Net Margins (2020-2024)

5.4 Balance Sheet Health and Leverage

JetBlue's struggle with profitability is reflected in its deteriorating balance sheet health, marked by rising debt levels.




Figure 5.4: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Total Assets, Debt and Equity (2020-2024)

5.4.1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio Trend

JetBlue's financial stability has weakened considerably over the five-year period. While the Net Debt to Equity ratio briefly improved in 2021, it has since escalated dramatically, reaching 5x by the end of 2024. This trend is a direct result of equity erosion from persistent net losses and an increase in net debt to fund operations and investments. Such high leverage poses a significant financial risk and constrains the company's strategic flexibility.




Figure 5.5: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Debt-to-Equity Ratio (2020-2024)

5.5 Cash Flow Qjbluity and Sustainability

JetBlue's cash flow statement further highlights its operational challenges, showing a disconnect between reported earnings and actjblu cash generation.

The pattern is concerning: in years with net losses (2020, 2021, 2023, 2024), cash from operations was also negative, and often worse than the reported loss. This indicates that non-cash charges like depreciation were not sufficient to offset cash drains from operations.




Figure 5.6: Jet Blue (JBLU) Quarterly Net Income and Cash from Operating Activities (2020-2024)

5.5.1 Free Cash Flow Trend

Furthermore, JetBlue's free cash flow has been consistently and deeply negative over the periods. This inability to generate positive free cash flow underscores a critical lack of financial flexibility and a dependency on external financing to fund its capital expenditures.




Figure 5.7: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Free Cash Flow (2020-2024)

5.6 Efficiency and Performance Ratios

5.6.1 Return on Assets (ROA)

JBLU's Return on Assets (ROA) has been highly volatile from 2020 to 2024, reflecting the airline's struggle to generate consistent profits amid fluctuating demand and operational chal-

lenges. The ROA dipped into negative territory during the peak pandemic years, with only brief recoveries in between. This inconsistency highlights the difficulties JetBlue faces in efficiently utilizing its asset base to generate earnings.

Return on Assets for JBLU (2020 Q1 - 2025 Q2)




Figure 5.8: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Return on Assets (ROA) (2020-2024)

5.6.2 ROA = Total Asset Turnover \times Profit Margin

The decomposition of ROA into Total Asset Turnover and Profit Margin provides insights into the drivers behind JetBlue's asset efficiency. The airline's low and fluctuating profit margins have been a significant drag on ROA, despite relatively stable asset turnover ratios. This indicates that while JetBlue can generate revenue from its assets, its ability to convert that revenue into profit remains a critical challenge.



Figure 5.9: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly ROA Decomposition (2020-2024)

5.6.3 Return on Equity (ROE)

JBLU's Return on Equity (ROE) has mirrored its ROA trends, with significant fluctuations and periods of negative returns. The airline's ROE has been particularly affected by its equity erosion due to sustained losses, leading to a challenging environment for shareholders. The

negative ROE in several quarters underscores the difficulties JetBlue faces in delivering value to its equity investors.






Figure 5.10: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly Return on Equity (ROE) (2020-2024)

5.6.4 ROE = ROA \times Capital Structure Leverage \times Common Earnings Leverage

The decomposition of ROE into its constituent components reveals the multifaceted challenges JetBlue faces in delivering shareholder value. The airline's low ROA, combined with high leverage ratios, has amplified the negative impact on ROE. This analysis underscores the critical need for JetBlue to improve its operational efficiency and profitability while managing its capital structure prudently to enhance returns for equity investors.



Figure 5.11: JetBlue (JBLU) Quarterly ROE Decomposition (2020-2024)

5.7 Summary

In summary, JetBlue's financial analysis from 2020 to 2024 reveals a company grappling with the dual challenges of recovering from a severe industry downturn while trying to establish a sustainable and profitable business model. The airline's inability to consistently generate profits, coupled with a deteriorating balance sheet and negative cash flows, raises significant concerns about its long-term viability without substantial strategic changes. JetBlue's hybrid model, while offering some competitive advantages, appears to be a liability in a market increasingly polarized between low-cost and full-service carriers. Addressing these financial and operational challenges will be critical for JetBlue to regain its footing in the competitive U.S. airline landscape.

Part III SkyWest (SKYW)

6 SkyWest (SKYW) Financial Analysis

SkyWest, Inc. operates under a unique and resilient business model as a regional carrier, providing flight services under contract for major airline partners like SkyWest. This structure insulates it from certain risks, such as direct fuel price and ticketing volatility, that mainline carriers face. Its financial performance, therefore, offers a distinct and valuable perspective on the U.S. airline sector. This section will analyze SkyWest's financial statements from 2020 through the end of fiscal year 2024.

6.1 Market Capitalization Trend

SkyWest's market capitalization trajectory from 2020 to 2024 reflects its resilience and strategic positioning in the airline industry. The company's market cap experienced a significant dip during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020, mirroring the broader industry downturn. However, unlike many mainline carriers, SkyWest's recovery was relatively swift and steady, underscoring the strength of its regional carrier model and its contracts with major airlines.




Figure 6.1: SkyWest (SKYW) Historical Market Capitalization (2020-2024)

6.2 Income Statement and Profitability

6.2.1 Profitability Analysis

SkyWest's capacity-purchase business model provides a foundation for more stable and predictable revenue streams compared to its mainline counterparts.




Figure 6.2: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Revenue and Net Income (2020-2024)

6.2.2 Margin Analysis

SkyWest's profitability demonstrates remarkable consistency despite industry-wide turmoil. The stability of its results stems from its capacity purchase agreements with major carriers. These fixed-fee and cost-plus contracts largely insulate SkyWest from passenger demand risk and fuel price volatility, which are the primary sources of margin volatility for mainline carriers.

While it posted modest losses in 2020 and 2022, it maintained positive operating income in other years, culminating in a very strong 15% operating margin in 2024.




Figure 6.3: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Operating and Net Margins (2020-2024)

6.3 Balance Sheet Health and Leverage

A core strength of SkyWest is its conservative financial management, resulting in a robust and low-leverage balance sheet.




Figure 6.4: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Total Assets, Debt and Equity (2020-2024)

6.3.1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio Trend

SkyWest's financial structure is exceptionally strong for an airline. Over the five-year period, its Net Debt to Equity ratio has steadily decreased from 2.3x to a very healthy 1.7x by the end of 2024. This consistent deleveraging, even through challenging years, provides SkyWest with significant financial resilience and a lower risk profile.




Figure 6.5: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Debt-to-Equity Ratio (2020-2024)

6.4 Cash Flow Qskywity and Sustainability

SkyWest's operational efficiency is best illustrated by its consistent and strong cash flow generation.

The analysis reveals a powerful and consistent ability to convert earnings (and even losses) into positive operating cash flow. In every single year, Cash from Operating Activities was

significantly positive and substantially exceeded net income. This indicates very high-quality earnings and efficient working capital management. This operational strength translates directly to financial health.




Figure 6.6: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Net Income and Cash from Operating Activities (2020-2024)

6.4.1 Free Cash Flow Trend

SkyWest's return to positive free cash flow since 2022 demonstrates an ability to fund capital needs internally, a critical hallmark of a self-sustaining and healthy enterprise.




Figure 6.7: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Free Cash Flow (2020-2024)

6.5 Efficiency and Performance Ratios

6.5.1 Return on Assets (ROA)

SKYW's Return on Assets (ROA) has shown a positive trend from 2020 to 2024, reflecting the company's efficient use of its asset base to generate profits. The ROA metric indicates how effectively SkyWest is utilizing its assets to produce earnings, which is particularly important in the capital-intensive airline industry. The steady improvement in ROA over the years suggests that SkyWest has been successful in optimizing its operations and asset management, leading to enhanced profitability and financial health.

Return on Assets for SKYW (2020 Q1 - 2025 Q2)




Figure 6.8: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Return on Assets (ROA) (2020-2024)

6.5.2 ROA = Total Asset Turnover \times Profit Margin

ROA decomposition provides valuable insights into the drivers of SkyWest's asset efficiency. By breaking down ROA into Total Asset Turnover and Profit Margin, we can better understand how effectively the company utilizes its assets to generate revenue and how efficiently it converts that revenue into profit. This analysis highlights the dual importance of operational efficiency and profitability in enhancing SkyWest's overall return on assets.




Figure 6.9: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly ROA Decomposition (2020-2024)

6.5.3 Return on Equity (ROE)

SKYW's Return on Equity (ROE) has also demonstrated a positive trajectory from 2020 to 2024, highlighting the company's ability to generate profits from its shareholders' equity. ROE is a critical measure of financial performance, indicating how effectively SkyWest is using the capital invested by its shareholders to produce earnings. The upward trend in ROE suggests that SkyWest has been successful in enhancing shareholder value through efficient management and profitable operations, even in the face of industry challenges.

Return on Equity for SKYW (2020 Q1 - 2025 Q2)




Figure 6.10: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly Return on Equity (ROE) (2020-2024)

6.5.4 ROE = ROA \times Capital Structure Leverage \times Common Earnings Leverage

Decomposing ROE into its components provides valuable insights into the drivers of SkyWest's shareholder returns. The analysis reveals how the company's operational efficiency (ROA), financial leverage (Capital Structure Leverage), and earnings quality (Common Earnings Leverage) collectively contribute to its overall return on equity. This decomposition highlights the

multifaceted nature of SkyWest's financial performance and underscores the importance of each component in enhancing shareholder value.



Figure 6.11: SkyWest (SKYW) Quarterly ROE Decomposition (2020-2024)

6.6 Summary

In summary, SkyWest's financial analysis from 2020 to 2024 reveals a company that has not only weathered the unprecedented challenges of the pandemic but has emerged stronger and more resilient. Its unique business model as a regional carrier, combined with prudent financial management, has resulted in a robust balance sheet, consistent profitability, and strong cash flow generation. SkyWest's low leverage, stable margins, and ability to generate free cash flow position it well for future growth and stability in an industry that remains volatile and competitive. This analysis underscores SkyWest as a standout performer in the airline sector, demonstrating that strategic focus and operational efficiency can yield significant advantages even in challenging times.

Part IV Comparative Analysis

7 Comparative Financial Analysis

8 Introduction

This blog explores the financial performance of selected airlines using various financial analysis techniques including time series, cross-sectional, and panel data analysis.


This report provides a detailed comparative financial analysis of three distinct players in the U.S. airline industry: legacy carrier United Airlines (UAL), low-cost carrier JetBlue (JBLU), and regional operator SkyWest (SKW). Utilizing financial data from the beginning of 2020 through the end of fiscal year 2024, this study examines the post-pandemic recovery, financial health, profitability, and operational efficiency of each company. The strategic importance of this analysis lies in understanding the divergent recovery paths and competitive positioning that have emerged in a sector profoundly reshaped by recent global events.

8.1 Data Collection

We collected data using Financial Modeling Prep (FMP) API. You can get your free API key by signing up at https://financialmodelingprep.com/developer/docs/pricing/


8.2 Liquidity Ratios


Liquidity ratios for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2024)



8.3 Leverage Ratios









Year and Quarter

8.4 Cross-Sectional Analysis of Debt to Asset Ratio in 2024

Debt-to-asset ratios of US Airline Sector Companies



Debt-to-asset ratios and interest coverages for \textsquare



8.5 Efficiency and Profitability Ratios

8.5.1 ROA ROE


Return on Assets for UAL, JBLU, and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)








8.5.2 Capital Structure Leverage and Common Earnings Leverage







8.5.3 Efficiency Ratios Over Time


Asset Turnover for UAL, JBLU, and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)











8.5.4 Profitability Ratios Over Time














8.6 Common Size Analysis - Income Statement

8.6.1 Net Income Ratio Over Time

Net Income Ratio Over Time (Common-Size Income Statement)




Figure 8.1: Net Income Ratio for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.6.2 Expense Composition (% of Revenue)




Figure 8.2: Expense Composition for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2023 Q4)

8.6.3 Margin Comparison (Gross vs Operating vs Net)




Figure 8.3: Margin Comparison for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.7 Common Size Analysis - Balance Sheet

8.7.1 Capital Structure: Liabilities, Equity and Cash




Figure 8.4: Capital Structure for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.7.2 Asset Composition (% of Total Assets)




Figure 8.5: Asset Composition for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.8 Common Size Analysis - Cash Flow Statement

8.8.1 Cash Flow Quality (CFO/Net Income)

Cash Flow Quality Ratio (CFO / Net Income) -40000 Ratio (% of Revenue) -80000 -120000 2022 2020 2024 Quarter

Figure 8.6: Cash Flow Quality for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.8.2 Cash Flow Composition (Operating, Investing, Financing)




Figure 8.7: Cash Flow Composition for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.8.3 Free Cash Flow (% of Net Income)




Figure 8.8: Free Cash Flow for UAL, JBLU and SKYW (2019 Q4 - 2025 Q2)

8.9 Net Income Ratio by year and airline




Figure 8.9: Net Income Ratio by Year and Airline (2019 - 2024)